

1  
2  
3 EDWARD JONES

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6 OAKLAND DIVISION

7  
8  
9

Petitioner,

No. C 14-4790 PJH (PR)

vs.

10 EDMUND BROWN,  
11  
12 Respondent.  
13 /

14 This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. He states he was convicted in  
15 Long Beach Superior Court which is located in Central District of California. He is currently  
16 incarcerated in Vacaville, CA in the Eastern District of California. In this petition, he argues  
17 that he is entitled to a new parole suitability hearing in light of a recent order in *Brown v.*  
*Plata*, — U.S. —, 131 S.Ct. 1910 (2011).

18 Venue is proper in a habeas action in either the district of confinement or the district  
19 of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); this district is neither. The district of confinement is the  
20 preferable forum to review the execution of a sentence. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Dunne v.*  
21 *Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Because petitioner's challenge appears to go  
22 to the execution of his sentence, this petition is transferred to the United States District  
23 Court for the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-  
24 3(b).

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: December 18, 2014.

  
27 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
28 United States District Judge

G:\PRO-SE\PJH\HC.14\Jones4790.trn